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## Introduction

During the second half of the semester, I successfully was able to complete the project as I had initially intended, with much of my time being spend working on attempting to access the datasets that I had obtained, reviewing the provided attributes to develop some questions of interest, performing a rough analysis of the data as needed, and then extrapolating the results of that analysis to attempt to answer the questions that I had formulated. Each of these four main steps will be described in this report, as will some screenshots and information on the code that I used for the analysis, and the results I yielded.

As described in my mid-semester report paper, the topic of the datasets I chose was fisheries surveys from New England water, specifically on the various species of prey and predators collected from several different surveys. I obtained the database from the National Marine Fisheries Service using their publicly-available InPort database management website; the specific database I selected came from the Food Web Dynamics Program at the Northeast Fisheries Science Center in Falmouth, MA. The database, entitled the Food Habits Database (FHDBS), contained four individual datasets; "FHSPECIES" documented the name and taxonomy of all species described; "FHPD" described a variety of habitat, physiology, and other data on predator species; "FHPY" documented a variety of habitat, physiology, and other data on prey species, and "FHPYL" described the length and sex of prey species, in a more compact file.

In addition to providing download links and metadata for the files, the InPort page for the FHDBS also contained a list of each of the attributes described in the four datasets, as well as an explanation for what they represented (for example, the attribute "BOTTEMP" was used in the "FHPY" and "FHPD" datasets, and the InPort page explained that "BOTTEMP" represented the temperature of the seabed where a given trawl survey was conducted). For my own convenience, I created a Google Sheets file containing the list of attributes and their respective definitions for all four datasets, which benefited me during my analysis.

## Pre-Analysis

Shortly after I obtained the four dataset files (which I downloaded in CSV format), I ran into a problem. While I was able to download and view each of the files in a text editor without much issue, the "FHPD" and "FHPY" datasets were both much larger than I expected; so large that they were not able to be opened in either of the main spreadsheet softwares that I utilized (Google Sheets and Microsoft Excel, specifically). At this point, I had two paths forward: the first option was to attempt to open the two files in
some other software program, such as RStudio, JupyterNotebook, or Tableau, while the second option was to simply perform an analysis using the FHPYL and FHSPECIES datasets in Microsoft Excel or Google Sheets. After spending a decent amount of time comparing the two options, I decided to pursue the first one; while I am not nearly as experienced with RStudio, JupyterNotebook, or Tableau as I am with Sheets and Excel, I really wanted to utilize either the FHPD and/or FHPY datasets, so I decided to attempt to access them utilizing JupyterNotebook (which utilizes the programming language Python). I was able to accomplish this without much difficulty; in my DSC-201 course that I took this same semester, we were actually learning about how to open and read CSV files in JupyterNotebook at the exact same time that I was working on this project, so I was able to apply the information I learned from that course to access all four FHDBS files in JupyterNotebook. See Image 1 for the code involved in reading the data files in JupyterNotebook.

Once I was able to access all four datasets in JupyterNotebook, the next step was to formulate a question to investigate. I cross-referenced the available data with the information from the Attributes sheet that I made, to determine what each column was conveying for data and what I might be interested in investigating. After some superficial reviewing of each of the files, I decided that I wanted to investigate the predator data from the FHPD file; specifically, I wanted to look at the diversity and abundance of predator species in different habitats, specifically at different depths and different temperatures. The FHPD dataset provided information on the species ID, depth, and temperature of each sample (among many other pieces of data), while the FHSPECIES dataset provided a list of each species ID along with its common and scientific name; therefore, to begin my analysis, I utilized the Pandas package in JupyterNotebook to open the FHPD file and create a DataFrame entitled "PredatorData", containing four columns: the cruise ID, species ID, the "BOTTEMP" data (temperature of the water), and the "SETDEPTH" data (depth at which the trawl survey was conducted). I did not end up actually utilizing the cruise ID data, but the other three columns were all incorporated into my analysis. See Image 2 to see the code used in creating this DataFrame.

Once I created the DataFrame, I needed to filter out some data that was obviously inaccurate; for example, some columns listed the depth or temperature as being " 999 " or "- 999 ", which are obviously not accurate and likely a result of missing data being automatically filled. I simply filtered out any value for temperature that was less than 0 or greater than 900 , and then filtered out any value for depth that was less than 0 or greater than 900 , in order to get the values most reflective of reality. See Image 3 for the code involved in removing the outlying data. Once this was complete, the next step was to add a column to the dataframe containing the name of the actual species, since at this point, the only identifying information was a three-digit "species ID". In order to do this, I first added a new blank column to the "PredatorData" dataframe, entitled "commonname'. I then used Pandas to read the FHSPECIES file and create a

DataFrame entitled "IDtable", containing three columns: the species ID (which I set to function as the index of the dataframe), the species' common name, and the species' scientific name. At first, I attempted to set up a for-loop that would read the ID for each row in the "PredatorData" file, find that same ID in the FHSPECIES file, identify the corresponding species' common name, and then add that to the respective row's "commonname" in PredatorData. However, since the PredatorData dataframe was approximately 422,000 rows in size, this process was very time-consuming to the point of being infeasible; attempting to perform it produced an estimated wait-time of over an hour. Instead, I decided to leave the "commonname" column empty for now, and perform the analysis on "PredatorData" as it currently was, and then add the common names for each species once the analysis was complete. See Image 4 to see the code involved in creating the "IDtable" dataframe and the empty "commonname" column.

## Analysis

At this point, I began the actual analysis. My goal was to create a dataframe that contained a list of species observed by depth, at 25 -meter intervals. While I initially attempted to do this manually, it soon became apparent that it was to time-consuming; the total range for the depth data was from 0 to about 675 meters, so it would've been too much manually typing and too time-consuming. Instead, I decided to use a For-loop. I created an empty dataframe entitled "maxbydepth", and set up a for-loop to read through the PredatorData dataframe and create two "Series": one called "currmeterrange", with contained all data from meter-X to meter-X +25 , and one called "currfreqcount", which contained the count of each species ID in "currmeterrange". Because "currfreqcount" was made using the "value_counts" function in Pandas, it contained two columns: one being the original data (the list of species ID from "currmeterrange"), and one listing the count of that respective ID; in other words, it listed every species observed in the given 25 -meter range, and then listed the frequency of that species. For every 25 -meter interval in "PredatorData", the "currfreqcount" and "currmeterrange" Series were added to the "maxbydepth" dataframe; once the for-loop was complete, there was a "species ID" and "species frequency" row for every 25 -meter interval from 0 to 625 meters.

The next step was to add the name of each species to the "maxbydepth" dataframe; to do this, I first had to change the datraframe from being an integer-dataframe to a string-dataframe, since I wanted to replace each three-digit ID with the corresponding species name (which, in Jupyter, meant I needed to change the species ID from being an integer to a string). I then set up another for-loop that would go through every column in "maxbydepth". For each column, the loop would read every other row (i.e. the species ID data) as a 'float', and then search in the "TableID" dataframe for the index corresponding to that 'float' (recall that the index of the TableID is the list of species ID's, so it was essentially looking for that particular index in the dataframe). The loop would then find the corresponding "common name", and
then replace the species ID in "maxbydepth" with that common name. If a given species ID was not found in the "TableID" dataframe for any reason, the value in the "maxbydepth" dataframe was simply changed to say "NOT AVAIL". It would do this for every other row in a column, and then move on to the next column. The end result was that "maxbydepth" no longer contained the species ID, but instead contained the actual species common name, meaning it was easier to understand visually. See Image 5 for all the code involved in creating the "maxbydepth" table, and see Image 6 for an overview of the first 9 rows in the table.

The next step was to essentially do the exact same thing, but for temperature, since I wanted to examine the populations of predator species with respect to water temperature as well. I created a new empty dataframe, "maxbytemp", and then I essentially repeated this same process as above, except instead of 25 -meter intervals from 0 to 675 meters, I used 5 degree intervals from 0 to 100 degrees. I performed roughly the same procedure so that "maxbytemp" contained the species ID and species frequency from each 5-degree interval, and then used the "IDtable" dataframe to replace the species ID in "maxbytemp" with the species' common name. See Image 7 for all of the code involved in creating the "maxbytemp" table, and see Image 8 for an overview of the first 9 rows in the table.

One thing I noted in the "maxbytemp" table that struck me as odd was a massive gap in the predator populations. There was a high count of predators for each 5 -degree interval from 0 degrees to 30 degrees, but there were none from 30 degrees to 95 degrees, and then a very high count in the interval from 95 degrees to 100 degrees. I soon realized that I made an oversight within the data; I had assumed the temperature data was based on Fahrenheit, which is why I chose a range from 0 to 100, but it was more likely Celsius. The actual metadata on the InPort file did not specify the unit of temperature, but since Celsius is standard in the sciences, I assumed that was likely the case; I also assumed that the row of data in the 95-100 degree column was likely a result of an oversight from whoever managed the raw data at the NMFS, and decided I should simply disregard that row and assume it was not accurate. The unusual results are visible in Image 8, which shows the first 9 rows of the "maxbytemp" data.

## Results: Temperature

Now that both of the dataframes were complete, I debated on how I wanted to actually examine them. Looking over them roughly, I noticed that the most common species listed in both tables tended to be different species of flounder, hake, skate, and shark, so I therefore decided that I would analyze the abundance of these species at each depth and temperature interval, and extrapolate whether I thought the species were specialized to certain environments, or more generalistic. Note that, since I only looked at the top 9 species in each table, the species and families I examined were far from being comprehensive; there were certainly more species of hake, skate, flounder, and shark in the table, but if they weren't in the top 9 rows then they were not included in my examination.

First up, I analyzed the abundance of these four groups of species with respect to water temperature, beginning with the hake. A total of four species of hake were observed in the "maxbytemp" table, all of which shared relatively similar abundance patterns. Silver hake was incredibly common in cool waters, often being the most abundant predator present, with several thousand being observed at every interval from 0 degrees to 15 degrees (over 21,000 observations were made in the 5-10deg interval alone). Also very common were red hake, which had over 3000 observations at the $0-5 \mathrm{deg}, 5-10 \mathrm{deg}$, and 10-15deg intervals; white hake, which had over 3000 observations at the $10-15 \mathrm{deg}$ interval, and spotted hake, which has almost 4000 observations at the 10-15deg interval and almost 400 observations at the $20-25 \mathrm{deg}$ interval. Overall, all four species experienced similar patterns, being very abundant in cool waters and gradually becoming less frequent in warmer environments, which lead me to assume that they were all relatively specialized for cooler waters and preferred to avoid warm temperatures.

The next group I examined with respect to temperature were the skates. Two species of skate were present, the little skate and the winter skate, both of which had very high observation counts in the $0-5 \mathrm{deg}$ range ( $>6000$ little skates and almost 4000 winter skates) and the $15-20 \mathrm{deg}$ range (almost 800 little skates and $>800$ winter skates). The little skate was also incredibly common at the 5-10deg range (with over 10,000 observations) and the $10-15 \mathrm{deg}$ range ( $>2000$ observations). Both species were significantly less common at temperatures above 20 degrees, which lead me to assume that, much like the hakes, both skate species were well-adapted to cooler temperatures and preferred to avoid warmer waters.

Next, I examined the species of flounders. Three species of flounder were present; the winter flounder, the summer flounder, and the fourspot flounder. Unlike hakes and skates, however, the flounder species seemed to be more specialized based on species. Fourspot flounder were most common in cool waters, being extremely common at the 5-10deg and 10-15deg intervals and relatively uncommon elsewhere. Summer flounder were much more common in slightly warmer waters, being near-dominant predators at the 15-20deg and 20-25deg intervals, where they were the first and second most abundant predators, respectively. Winter flounder seemed less specialized, being very common at the $0-5 \mathrm{deg}$ range and the 15-20 deg range, but also being present (albeit less common) in the 20-25 deg range. I found it very interesting that the species seemed to be relatively divided by temperatures, with fourspot flounder being most common in cool water, summer flounder dominating in warm water, and winter flounder living in more intermediate waters; I assume that, since they are all flounder, they likely all fill similar ecological niches in their respective environments.

Finally, with respect to temperature, I examined the sharks. Three species of sharks were observed: smooth dogfish, spiny dogfish, and Atlantic sharpnose shark. Spiny dogfish was extraordinarily common, being the dominant predator in the $0-5,5-10$, and $10-15 \mathrm{deg}$ ranges, with $>9000$ observations, $>27,000$ observations, and $>10,000$ observations in each respective interval. From the 15-20deg range and
beyond, they became much less common until they became virtually nonexistent. The smooth dogfish seemed to take over their role, being the second-dominant predator in the 15-20deg range and the fourth-dominant in the 20-25deg range, with over 1000 observations in each range, before gradually becoming less common until virtually disappearing. The Atlantic sharpnose shark was much less common, being observed only in the 25-30 deg range, where it was observed 66 times. I find it fascinating how the shark species seem so distinct, far more so than even the flounders. All three of these species are very similar in size, and the very clear distinctions in temperature-preferences leads me to assume that they all perform very similar niches in their habitats, with spiny dogfish and smooth dogfish in particular being near-apex predators in their respective environments. I'm not sure if the differences are a result of the sharks inhabiting different geographic regions, or perhaps being dominant at different times of year, but in either case, the differences are very prominent.

## Results: Depth

At this point, I had completed my analysis based on temperature, and moved on to perform an analysis based on depth inhabited. I stuck with the same four "families" as before (hake, skates, flounder, and sharks), since they seemed to dominate the different depth ranges as well. I began by examining the abundance of hake. Six total species were observed: silver hake, red hake, white hake, spotted hake, longfin hake, and offshore hake. Interestingly, species seemed to be quite distinct in terms of depth. Red hake was common primarily in intermediate shallow water, with several thousand observations at every 25 -meter interval from 50 m to 200 m , while spotted hake were also common in an even more narrow range of intermediate shallow waters, with over a thousand observations at the $100-125 \mathrm{~m}$ interval and over 800 at the $125-150 \mathrm{~m}$ interval. Offshore hake, on the other hand, seemed to be only found in much deeper waters, with several hundred observations at the $250-275 \mathrm{~m}, 275-300 \mathrm{~m}$, and $325-350 \mathrm{~m}$ and a few dozen observations at the $300-325,350-375$, and $375-400 \mathrm{~m}$ intervals, as well as one singular observation at the $575-600 \mathrm{~m}$ interval. Longfin hake were the least common and also one of the deepest-inhabiting hake, with only three observations at the $400-425 \mathrm{~m}$ range and one observation at the $575-600 \mathrm{~m}$ range. The silver hake and white hake were much more generalistic (and much more common overall); the silver hake had thousands of observations at every interval from 25 m to 250 m , and several dozen to hundred observations from every interval from 250 m down to 375 m , and white hake has several thousand of observations in each interval from 100 m to 225 m , and a few dozen to a few hundred in each interval down to 325 m , as well as a single observation at the $575-600 \mathrm{~m}$ interval. The diversity of depths inhabited is clearly distinct between species, with red hake and spotted hake being more common in intermediate waters, offshore hake inhabiting exclusively deep water, and silver hake and white hake being very more generalistic. Silver hake in particularly was incredibly abundant, often being in the top three predators at most intervals it inhabited, and was likely in the top three predators total in terms of abundance.

Next, I examined the skates, of which two species were present: the little skate and the winter skate. The two of them were both very common in shallow waters, with each of them having thousands of observations in each interval from 0 m to 100 m . The little skate in particular was incredibly abundant, being the dominant predator in the $0-25 \mathrm{~m}$ range and the second-dominant in the $25-50 \mathrm{~m}$ range. Both species were almost completely absent in waters deeper than 100 m , likely indicating that they are specialized for shallow waters closer to the surface; the fact that they seem to coexist very frequently leads me to speculate that they may perform slightly different niches in their ecosystem to avoid competition, or possibly inhabit different depths at different times of year (though this seems unlikely, since they both inhabited very similar temperature ranges in my analysis of abundance by temperature), or simply coexist and compete with each other in the same niche. I'm not quite sure which of these explanations is reflective of reality, and it's something I may pursue more information on via Google on my own time out of curiosity.

Next up, I examined the flounders. Five species were present: the winter flounder, the summer flounder, the yellowtail flounders, the fourspot flounder, and the witch flounder, and they seemed very from each other by depth. The winter flounder and summer flounder were very common in shallow waters, with thousands of observations for each in the $0-25 \mathrm{~m}$ interval, as well as several thousand summer flounder in each interval from 25 m to 125 m . The yellowtail flounder inhabited a more restrictive range, typically intermediate shallow waters from 50 m to 100 m , with a few thousand observations in each interval. Similarly, the fourspot flounder seemed to inhabit more intermediate deeper waters, where it proved to be very common, having several thousand observations in each interval from 75 m to 150 m . The witch flounder, on the other hand, was an exclusively deep-water species, with a few hundred species being observed in every interval from 200 to 325 m , and it was also the deepest species found in the entire dataset, with 4 observations being found in the 625-650 meter range, making it the only species found below 600 meters. The clear distinction in flounder habitat is interesting due to the fact that flounders also observed clear distinctions in temperature preference, and the population patterns even align quite well: fourspot flounder were more common in deep waters, which tend to be cooler, while summer and winter flounder were more common in intermediate and shallow waters, which tend to be warmer. Of note is that the yellowtail flounder and witch flounder were not present in the examine portion (first 9 columns) of the temperature data, presumably because they simply aren't very common overall.

Finally, I examined the sharks, of which only two species were present in the examined portion of the depth graph. The smooth dogfish was quite common in shallow waters, with over 3500 observations in the $0-25 \mathrm{~m}$ range, though it was seemingly absent from any other examined depth ranges. The spiny dogfish, however, was arguably the single most common species in the entire dataset. The spiny dogfish was the dominant predator in every interval from 25 m to 125 m , as well as in the $250-275 \mathrm{~m}, 275-300 \mathrm{~m}$,

375-400, and 425-450m intervals, and it was the second most abundant each interval from $150 \mathrm{~m}-250 \mathrm{~m}$, as well as in the $300-235 \mathrm{~m}$ and $425-450 \mathrm{~m}$ intervals. There were continuously hundreds or thousands of observations at almost every depth, indicating that the spiny dogfish is both extraordinarily common and highly generalistic, able to survive and thrive at almost any depth except for the most extreme waters. This matches up with how extremely common spiny dogfish where in the temperature dataframe, though it's quite interesting that the two dataframes seem to contradict each other: the fact that spiny dogfish were so common at almost every depth seems to contradict the fact that they were relatively rare in warmer waters, since their abundance in shallow depths would presumably mean they'd be more common in warmer temperatures. I can't be sure of the precise reason for this apparent contradiction, and it's something I am interested in doing more research on via Google.

## Conclusion

Overall, this project has given me a great deal of insight in both skills of data analysis, as well as information related to fisheries science and population biology, both of which are fields that I have great interest in. There were several things that I would do differently if I did this project again, with the two major changes being to improve my time management (since I, admittedly, procrastinated on some parts of the project more than I should have) and more planning on the analysis phase, since I went in a bit blind and didn't have a concrete plan at first, which meant I wasted a lot of time writing code that I didn't need and using inefficient methods that could have been replaced with something else. In general, though, I enjoyed the knowledge I gained from this project in both analytics and fisheries science, and I'm glad I was able to work on a topic that I find so fascinating and enjoyable to learn about.

## Images:

## Image 1:

|  | Import Pandas and Read Files <br> In [1]: <br>  <br> In [2]: <br> import pandas as pd <br> import numpy as np <br> from IPython.display import clear_output <br> PredatorData $=$ pd.read_csv('FHPD.csv') <br> \#PredatorData <br> In [3]:\#PreyData $=$ pd.read_csv('FHPY.csv') <br> \#PreyData <br> In [4]:SpeciesData $=$ pd.read_csv('FHSPECIES.csv') <br> \#SpeciesData <br> In [5]:\#PreyLengthData $=$ pd.read_csv('FHPYL.csv') <br> \#PreyLengthData |
| :--- | :--- |

Image 2:

## Create Dataframe Containing Desired Predator Data

In [6]: PredatorData2 = pd.DataFrame(\{"species":PredatorData["SVSPP"], "depth":PredatorData["SETDEPTH"],
PredatorData2 "temp":PredatorData["BOTTEMP"], "cruise ID":PredatorData["CRUISE6"]\})
out[6]:

|  | species | depth | temp | cruise ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 9 | 32.0 | 16.9 | 198102 |
| 1 | 9 | 121.0 | 16.4 | 198102 |
| 2 | 16 | 121.0 | 16.4 | 198102 |
| 3 | 18 | 43.0 | 17.7 | 198102 |
| 4 | 375 | 43.0 | 17.7 | 198102 |
| -.- | -.- | -.. | ... | -. |
| 630910 | 26 | 47.0 | NaN | 201302 |
| 630911 | 72 | 47.0 | NaN | 201302 |
| 630912 | 15 | 47.0 | NaN | 201302 |
| 630913 | 103 | 47.0 | NaN | 201302 |
| 630914 | 15 | 47.0 | NaN | 201302 |

Image 3:

| In [7]: | Remove Outliers for Depth and Temp |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | PredatorData2["depth"].describe() |
| out[7]: | count 570713.000000 |
|  | mean 97.417847 |
|  | std 80.010737 |
|  | min 0.e00000 |
|  | 25\% 44.000000 |
|  | 50\% 76.000000 |
|  | 75\% 137.000000 |
|  |  |
|  | Name: depth, dtype: float64 |
| In [8]: | ```PredatorData3 = PredatorData2[PredatorData2["depth"]<900] PredatorData4 = PredatorData3[PredatorData3["depth"]>0] PredatorData4["depth"].describe()``` |
| out[8]: | count 570704.00000 |
|  | mean 97.27922 |
|  | std 70.90458 |
|  | min 5.00000 |
|  | 25\% 44.00000 |
|  | 50\% 76.00000 |
|  | 75\% 137.00000 |
|  | $\max \quad 650.00000$ |
|  | Name: depth, dtype: float64 |
| In [9]: | PredatorData4["temp"].describe() |
| Out [9]: | count 435321.000000 |
|  | mean 16.478941 <br> std 29.464533 |
|  | min 0.000000 |
|  | 25\% 5.100000 |
|  | 50\% 7.600000 |
|  | 75\% 11.500000 |
|  | max 999.000000 |
|  | Name: temp, dtype: float64 |
| In [10]: | ```PredatorData5 = PredatorData4[PredatorData4["temp"]<900] PredatorData6 = PredatorData5[PredatorData5["temp"]>0] PredatorData6["temp"].describe()``` |
| out[10]: | count 422615.000000 |
|  | mean 16.827824 |
|  | std 27.283548 |
|  | min 1.000000 |
|  | 25\% 5.400000 |
|  | 50\% 7.800000 |
|  | 75\% 11.700000 |
|  | $\max 99.900000$ |
|  | Name: temp, dtype: float64 |

Image 4:


Image 5:


Image 6a:

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | ..- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 0 \mathrm{~m} \text { to } \\ 25 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | LITTLE <br> SKATE | WINDOWPANE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | SUMMER FLOUNDER | WEAKFISH | SMOOTH <br> DOGFISH | BLUEFISH | WINTER FLOUNDER | WINTER <br> SKATE | ATLANTIC HERRING | $\cdots$ |
| frequency: <br> 0 m to 25 m | 4572 | 4055 | 4010 | 3958 | 3622 | 3534 | 3258 | 2380 | 2305 | 2106 | ... |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 25 \mathrm{~m} \text { to } \\ 50 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | LITTLE SKATE | WINTER SKATE | WINTER FLOUNDER | WINDOWPANE | SUMMER FLOUNDER | ATLANTIC COD | SILVER HAKE | ATLANTIC HERRING | LONGHORN SCULPIN | $\cdots$ |
| frequency: 25 m to 50 m 50 m | 12096 | 7591 | 5286 | 4692 | 4596 | 3606 | 3540 | 3536 | 2993 | 2209 | ... |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 50 \mathrm{~m} \text { to } \\ 75 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | SILVER HAKE | LITTLE <br> SKATE | WINTER SKATE | YELLOWTAIL FLOUNDER | ATLANTIC COD | FOURSPOT FLOUNDER | RED HAKE | LONGHORN SCULPIN | SUMMER FLOUNDER | ... |
| frequency: 50 m to 75 m | 12876 | 7825 | 6736 | 4411 | 4409 | 4294 | 4092 | 4027 | 3387 | 2956 | ... |
| species ID: 75 m to 100 m | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | SILVER HAKE | RED HAKE | ATLANTIC COD | FOURSPOT FLOUNDER | HADDOCK | LITTLE <br> SKATE | LONGHORN SCULPIN | SUMMER FLOUNDER | YELLOWTAIL FLOUNDER | $\cdots$ |
| frequency: 75 m to 100 m | 8691 | 7093 | 4063 | 3829 | 3318 | 3090 | 2775 | 2633 | 2273 | 2213 | ... |
| species <br> ID: 100 m <br> to 125 m | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{gathered}$ | SILVER HAKE | FOURSPOT FLOUNDER | RED HAKE | ATLANTIC COD | SPOTTED HAKE | SUMMER FLOUNDER | HADDOCK | AMERICAN PLAICE | WHITE HAKE | $\cdots$ |
| frequency: 100 m to 125 m | 3987 | 3890 | 2025 | 1882 | 1723 | 1522 | 1509 | 1424 | 1194 | 1139 | ... |
| species <br> ID: 125 m <br> to 150 m | SILVER HAKE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | RED HAKE | FOURSPOT FLOUNDER | AMERICAN PLAICE | ATLANTIC COD | WHITE HAKE | HADDOCK | SPOTTED HAKE | GOOSEFISH | -. |
| frequency: 125 m to 150 m | 3396 | 3243 | 1735 | 1343 | 1172 | 1144 | 1121 | 993 | 844 | 812 | ... |
| species <br> ID: 150 m <br> to 175 m | SILVER HAKE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | RED HAKE | WHITE HAKE | AMERICAN PLAICE | ACADIAN REDFISH | WTCH FLOUNDER | ATLANTIC COD | ATLANTIC HERRING | POLLOCK | ... |
| frequency: 150 m to 175 m | 3895 | 2243 | 2004 | 1933 | 1329 | 1160 | 1079 | 853 | 775 | 710 | ... |
| species <br> ID: 175 m <br> to 200 m | SILVER HAKE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | WHITE HAKE | RED HAKE | ACADIAN REDFISH | AMERICAN PLAICE | WITCH FLOUNDER | ATLANTIC HERRING | ATLANTIC COD | HADDOCK | ... |
| frequency: 175 m to 200 m | 4434 | 2818 | 2335 | 2124 | 1368 | 1078 | 896 | 792 | 725 | 648 | $\ldots$ |
| species <br> ID: 200 m <br> to 225 m | SILVER HAKE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | WHITE HAKE | RED HAKE | ACADIAN REDFISH | AMERICAN PLAICE | ATLANTIC HERRING | GOOSEFISH | WITCH FLOUNDER | POLLOCK | $\cdots$ |
| frequency: 200 m to 225 m | 3286 | 2543 | 1775 | 1480 | 903 | 710 | 590 | 485 | 474 | 408 | $\ldots$ |
| species <br> ID: 225m <br> to 250 m | SILVER HAKE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | WHITE HAKE | RED HAKE | GOOSEFISH | AMERICAN PLAICE | ACADIAN REDFISH | FOURSPOT FLOUNDER | WITCH FLOUNDER | ATLANTIC HERRING | $\cdots$ |
| frequency: 225 m to 250 m | 1680 | 1553 | 1044 | 618 | 368 | 314 | 274 | 231 | 215 | 213 | ... |
| species <br> ID: 250 m <br> to 275 m | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | SILVER HAKE | WHITE HAKE | RED HAKE | GOOSEFISH | FOURSPOT FLOUNDER | HADDOCK | AMERICAN PLAICE | OFFSHORE HAKE | WTCH FLOUNDER | $\cdots$ |
| frequency: 250 m to 275 m | 912 | 777 | 390 | 240 | 171 | 121 | 118 | 119 | 118 | 94 | ... |
| species ID: $\mathbf{2 7 5 m}$ to 300 m | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | SILVER HAKE | WHITE HAKE | GOOSEFISH | RED HAKE | WITCH FLOUNDER | BLACKBELLY ROSEFISH | OFFSHORE HAKE | ACADIAN REDFISH | HADDOCK | ... |
| frequency: 275 m to 300 m | 617 | 602 | 360 | 186 | 182 | 147 | 135 | 131 | 110 | 102 | ... |
| species <br> ID: 300 m <br> to 325 m | SILVER HAKE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | WHITE HAKE | WITCH FLOUNDER | GOOSEFISH | OFFSHORE HAKE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BLACKBELLY } \\ & \text { ROSEFISH } \end{aligned}$ | RED HAKE | ACADIAN REDFISH | FOURSPOT FLOUNDER | $\cdots$ |
| frequency: 300 m to 325 m | 317 | 264 | 147 | 132 | 105 | 83 | 76 | 71 | 65 | 56 | ... |
| species <br> ID: 325 m <br> to 350 m | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | SILVER HAKE | WHITE HAKE | GOOSEFISH | OFFSHORE HAKE | WTCH FLOUNDER | ACADIAN REDFISH | RED HAKE | BLACKBELLY ROSEFISH | NORTHERN SHORTFIN SQUID | . |
| frequency: 325 m to 350 m | 385 | 330 | 238 | 134 | 108 | 106 | 77 | 72 | 65 | 55 | ... |

Image 6b:


Image 7:


Image 8a:

| out[20]: |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | ... | : |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | species ID: $0^{\circ}$ to 5 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | SILVER HAKE | LITTLE SKATE | ATLANTIC COD | ATLANTIC HERRING | WINTER SKATE | WINTER FLOUNDER | LONGHORN SCULPIN | RED HAKE | WINDOWPANE | .- | Na |
|  | frequency: $0^{\circ}$ to $5^{\text {a }}$ | 9222 | 7739 | 6232 | 4518 | 4407 | 3992 | 3823 | 3585 | 3380 | 3376 | ... | $\mathrm{N}:$ |
|  | species <br> ID: $5^{\circ}$ to $10^{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | SILVER HAKE | RED HAKE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LITTLE } \\ & \text { SKATE } \end{aligned}$ | WHITE HAKE | ATLANTIC HERRING | ATLANTIC COD | HADDOCK | FOURSPOT FLOUNDER | AMERICAN PLAICE | $\cdots$ | Na |
|  | frequency: $5^{\circ}$ to $10^{\circ}$ | 27941 | 21771 | 12022 | 10604 | 8384 | 8344 | 7839 | 6184 | 6099 | 5888 | ... | Na |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 10^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 15^{\circ} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | SILVER HAKE | FOURSPOT FLOUNDER | SPOTTED HAKE | RED HAKE | SUMMER FLOUNDER | BUTTERFISH | LITTLE SKATE | GOOSEFISH | WINTER FLOUNDER | ... | 36C |
|  | frequency: $10^{\circ}$ to $15^{\text {a }}$ | 10642 | 6594 | 4387 | 3882 | 3223 | 3186 | 2829 | 2660 | 2159 | 2052 | ... | 2 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 15^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 20^{\circ} \end{array}$ | SUMMER <br> FLOUNDER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMOOTH } \\ & \text { DOGFISH } \end{aligned}$ | WINDOWPANE | BLUEFISH | SCUP | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | BUTTERFISH | LITTLE SKATE | WINTER SKATE | WINTER FLOUNDER | ... | 682 |
|  | frequency: $15^{\circ}$ to $20^{\circ}$ | 1830 | 1811 | 1201 | 1018 | 948 | 915 | 914 | 879 | 839 | 617 | ... | 1 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 20^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 25^{\circ} \end{array}$ | WEAKFISH | SUMMER <br> FLOUNDER | BLUEFISH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMOOTH } \\ & \text { DOGFISH } \end{aligned}$ | SCUP | BUTTERFISH | ATLANTIC CROAKER | SPOT | WINDOWPANE | SPOTTED HAKE | ... | $\mathrm{N}:$ |
|  | frequency: $20^{\circ}$ to $25^{\circ}$ | 1732 | 1325 | 1231 | 1091 | 820 | 681 | 540 | 538 | 509 | 389 | ... | Nz |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 25^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 30^{\circ} \end{array}$ | SPOT | BLUEFISH | ATLANTIC CROAKER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BLACK } \\ & \text { SEABASS } \end{aligned}$ | WEAKFISH | SCUP | BUTTERFISH | SUMMER FLOUNDER | ATLANTIC SHARPNOSE SHARK | SCUP | ... | Nz |
|  | frequency: $25^{\circ} \text { to } 30^{\circ}$ | 195 | 137 | 134 | 129 | 125 | 84 | 74 | 68 | 66 | 43 | ... | N : |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 30^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 35^{\circ} \end{array}$ | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | ... | $\mathrm{N}:$ |
|  | frequency: <br> $30^{\circ}$ to $35^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | ... | N : |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 35^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 40^{\circ} \end{array}$ | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | ... | Nz |
|  | frequency: $35^{\circ} \text { to } 40^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | .. | Nz |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 40^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 45^{\circ} \end{array}$ | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | ... | Na |
|  | frequency: $40^{\circ} \text { to } 45^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | ... | $\mathrm{N}:$ |
|  | species ID: $45^{\circ}$ to $50^{\circ}$ | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | ... | Na |
|  | frequency: $45^{\circ} \text { to } 50^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | ... | $\mathrm{N}:$ |

Image 8b:

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 50^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 55^{\circ} \end{array}$ | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | ... | Nz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| frequency: $50^{\circ} \text { to } 55^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | ... | $\mathrm{N}:$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 55^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 60^{\circ} \end{array}$ | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | ... | N: |
| frequency: $55^{\circ} \text { to } 60^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | ... | N z |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 60^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 65^{\circ} \end{array}$ | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | ... | Nz |
| frequency: $60^{\circ} \text { to } 65^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | ... | $\mathrm{N}:$ |
| species <br> ID: $65^{\circ}$ to <br> $70^{\circ}$ | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | ... | $\mathrm{N}:$ |
| frequency: <br> $65^{\circ}$ to $70^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | ... | $\mathrm{N}:$ |
| species ID: $70^{\circ}$ to $75^{\circ}$ | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | ... | Na |
| frequency: <br> $70^{\circ}$ to $75^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | ... | N : |
| species <br> ID: $75^{\circ}$ to <br> $80^{\circ}$ | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | ... | Na |
| frequency: $75^{\circ} \text { to } 80^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | ... | Na |
| species <br> ID: $80^{\circ}$ to $85^{\circ}$ | NOTAVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | ... | N : |
| frequency: <br> $80^{\circ}$ to $85^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | ... | Na |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 85^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 90^{\circ} \end{array}$ | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | ... | $\mathrm{N}:$ |
| frequency: $85^{\circ} \text { to } 90^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | ... | $\mathrm{N}:$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 90^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 95^{\circ} \end{array}$ | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | NOT AVAIL | -.. | Nz |
| frequency: $90^{\circ} \text { to } 95^{\circ}$ | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | ... | Na |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { species } \\ \text { ID: } 95^{\circ} \text { to } \\ 100^{\circ} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPINY } \\ \text { DOGFISH } \end{array}$ | SILVER HAKE | ATLANTIC COD | WINTER SKATE | RED HAKE | LITTLE SKATE | WHITE HAKE | WINDOWPANE | FOURSPOT FLOUNDER | LONGHORN SCULPIN | $\cdots$ | Nz |
| frequency: $95^{\circ}$ to 100 | $8010$ | 6977 | 3657 | 2230 | 2176 | 2119 | 2077 | 1115 | 1042 | 971 | ... | Nz |
| 40 rows $\times 107$ columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

